United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT October 19, 2023 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **BROWNSVILLE DIVISION**

| JOSE ROCHA, JR., | § | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| v. | § | Civil Action No. 1:23-cv-00109 |
| | § | |
| ENRIQUE DE LA GARZA (DECEASED) | § | |
| INSURED (LIFE INSURANCE), et al., | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is pro se Plaintiff's "Complaint" (Dkt. No. 1) and the "Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation" ("R&R") (Dkt. No. 5). The R&R recommended the Court: (1) dismiss without prejudice Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) for lack of jurisdiction; and (2) direct the Clerk of Court to close this case.

No objections were filed by either party. When no objections are filed to a magistrate judge's ruling, the district court applies the "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law" standard of review. United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). Finding no clear error, abuse of discretion, or finding contrary to law, the R&R is ADOPTED.

Before the Court is also a "Motion for Extension" ("Motion") (Dkt. No. 8) filed by Plaintiff requesting, among other things, that the Court provide him with the correct forms and instructions to refile his complaint. Because the Court adopts the R&R and finds it lacks subject matter jurisdiction (See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332) over Plaintiff's claims, his Motion (Dkt. No. 8) is DENIED AS MOOT.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims against Defendants are DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk of the Court is **ORDERED** to close this case.

Signed on this

United States District Judge